

Message

From: Johnson, Barnes [Johnson.Barnes@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/12/2019 12:31:03 PM
To: Roewer, James [JRoewer@eei.org]
CC: Devlin, Betsy [Devlin.Betsy@epa.gov]; Huggins, Richard [Huggins.Richard@epa.gov]; ORCR IO [ORCR_IO@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Two questions

Jim,

Following up from our call yesterday, we were wondering if we could fit the alternative liner conversation into the meeting that is already scheduled with Richard Huggins for Friday March 22. Our preference is to see if we can "kill two birds with one stone" if possible. As you might imagine we feel very slammed right now with all the issues before us plus a court ruling in the offing that will likely compel very quick regulatory action.

Sincerely,

Barnes Johnson

USEPA | Resource Conservation and Recovery | Tel 703-308-8895 |
johnson.barnes@epa.gov | [@EPALand](#)

From: Roewer, James <JRoewer@eei.org>
Sent: Friday, March 01, 2019 3:30 PM
To: Johnson, Barnes <Johnson.Barnes@epa.gov>
Subject: Two questions

Barnes, thank You for sharing the letter you sent to Kansas regarding their CCR program application. Can I share that with my members? I think the point that programs need to align with the USWAG decision is of Interest to my members.

Also, can we set up a call late next week, Friday the 8th, to discuss where things stand regarding the methodology for measuring performance of the alternative liner systems? Maybe you and Richard?

If you could let me know on both these points I would appreciate it. Have a great weekend , thanks

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy , an AT&T LTE smartphone